UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,561	02/08/2006	Kota Iwamoto	040447-0276	6623
	7590 10/13/201 ARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/567,561	IWAMOTO, KOTA		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624		
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_		
(1) <u>AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>GEORGE C.BECK (REG. NO. 38,072)</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2010</u>	Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: Claims 1,2 and 4				
Prior art documents discussed: n/a				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
/Aklilu Woldemariam/ /DANIEL G MARIAM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and applicant's representative discussed claims 1,2 and 4 which have identical claim limitation. For example, "comparing the feature quantity for each divided small region of the inquiry image with a feature quantity for each divided small region of the reference image, using an image-region- based weight value calculated based on a probability for an editing process to be applied to an for each local region." Applicant's representative has agreed that they share similar limitation. Applicant's representative has indicated that he may amend the claims.